

## COMPUTING ALL REPEATS USING SUFFIX ARRAYS<sup>1,2</sup>

FRANTIŠEK FRANĚK, WILLIAM F. SMYTH<sup>3</sup> and YUDONG TANG

*Algorithms Research Group, Department of Computing and Software  
McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada  
e-mail: {franek, smyth}@mcmaster.ca*

### ABSTRACT

We describe an algorithm that identifies *all* the repeating substrings (tandem, overlapping, and split) in a given string  $x = x[1..n]$ . Given the suffix arrays of  $x$  and of the reversed string  $\tilde{x}$ , the algorithm requires  $\Theta(n)$  time for its execution and represents its output in  $\Theta(n)$  space, either as a reduced suffix array (called an NE array) or as a reduced suffix tree (called an NE tree). The output substrings  $u$  are *nonextendible* (NE); that is, any extension of some occurrence of  $u$  in  $x$ , either to the left or to the right, yields a string ( $\lambda u$  or  $u\lambda$ ) that is unequal to the same extension of some other occurrence of  $u$ . Thus the number of substrings output is the minimum required to identify all the repeating substrings in  $x$ . The output can be used in a straightforward way to identify only repeating substrings that satisfy some proximity or minimum length condition.

*Keywords:* Repeats, suffix arrays, string algorithms, substring

### 1. Introduction

The computation of all the repeating substrings in a given string  $x = x[1..n]$  is a problem with various application areas, most notably data compression, cryptography, and computational biology. For repeating substrings that are *tandem* (that is, *repetitions*), several  $O(n \log n)$  algorithms [1, 4, 11] were discovered about 20 years ago; more recently, a repetitions algorithm [9] was published that, at least theoretically, executes in  $\Theta(n)$  time in the common case that the alphabet is *indexed* – that is, treatable as a range of integers  $1..a \in O(n)$ .

These successes with repetitions have encouraged researchers to seek algorithms that efficiently compute all repeating substrings  $u$ , including in addition to tandem occurrences those that are *split* (of the form  $uvu$  for some nonempty  $v$ ) and *overlapping* (such as  $u = abaab$  in  $x = abaabaab$ ). The following definitions permit this problem to be stated more precisely.

---

<sup>1</sup>Full version of a lecture presented at the *Thirteenth Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms* (Kingfisher Bay Resort, Fraser Island, Queensland, Australia, July 7–10, 2002).

<sup>2</sup>Supported in part by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

<sup>3</sup>School of Computing, Curtin University, Perth, Australia.